

Tracking with Cooperative Sensor Systems

Tracking durch kooperative Sensorik

Horst Kloeden BMW Group Forschung und Technik Supported by:



on the basis of a decision

by the German Bundestag





Tracking is the estimation of the state of a moving object based on remote measurements.

Objectives

???



Introduction: Driver's Perspective

FORBEHUNBBINITIATIVE

How does a sensor see the world?

Introduction: Sensor Perspective

K D - F A S



Introduction: Tracking Perspective

KO-FAS



Introduction: Tracking Perspective

KO-FAS







Tracking is the estimation of the state of a moving object based on remote measurements.

- Objectives
 - object identification
 - improve state estimate (noise and outlier suppression)
 - recover observable object states
 - sensor independent object description
 - kinematic state: $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = [x, y, v_x, v_y]$ $p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{P})$
 - state covariance: $\mathbf{P} = E[(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x})(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x})]$
 - static properties: size, object class

Introduction: Hierarchical Structure

K O - F A S



Single Target Tracking with Range and Bearing Measurements and Communication of Inertial Data



Tracking with Cooperative Sensor Systems

- Tracking in Multipath Environments
- Tracking in Multi-User Environments
- Conclusion

K D - F A S

How to handle multipaths?

Multipath Effects from a Tracking Perspective

Multipaths below resolution limit

- Spatial Measurement Noise
- Destructive Interference: Misses and Outliers



FORSCHUNGSINITIATIVE

ко-

 \rightarrow noise as a function of quality

Multipaths above resolution limit

Multiple Distance and Angle
Measurements without association



→ Prefiltering possible but multiple peaks are remaining

- Ground Reflection
 - \rightarrow typically below resolution limit
- Walls, Fences, Parking Cars, …
 - \rightarrow multiple distance and angle measurements without association,
 - $2 \times 2 \rightarrow 4$ possible target positions
 - $3 \times 3 \rightarrow 9$ possible target positions

Multiple Hypothesis Tracking (MHT)

- object tracking for every hypothesis
- probability of hypotheses

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^l, \mathbf{P}^l) P(\exists \mathbf{x}^l) + p'(\not\exists)$$



FORSCHUNGSINITIA

Α

К 🗆 -

Path Classification and Prediction

- direct path / multipath (D/M)
- existence / non-existence

Continuous Time Monte Carlo (CTMC)

- \rightarrow predict state probabilities
- birth/death processes λ/μ
- fading memory $\boldsymbol{\delta}$
 - → death rate of multipaths depends on relative speed
 - \rightarrow path discrimination by speed



FORSCHUNGSINITIA

К 🗆 -



- Path Classification and Update by Measurements
 - Detection detection probabilities for direct path and multipath
 - Quality Value
 - \rightarrow determine probability of LOS/NLOS for a path

LOS:implies direct path $P(\exists D | \text{LOS}) = 1$ NLOS:no information about quality $P(\exists D | \text{NLOS}) = P(\exists D)$

• Object Dynamics

direct path is a more likely object description, e.g. speed

K o - F

K D - F A S

- Combination of Hypotheses
 - path classifications give marginal probabilities
 - \rightarrow global constellations
 - permutation over path existence
 - permutation over path classification
 - correlated paths may not coexist

→ probability of existence $\underline{P(\exists \mathbf{x})}$ probabilistic weighted estimate of every constellation

$$H^1$$
 H^2 H^4

$$\mathcal{G}_{A} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} H^{1} & H^{2} & H^{3} & H^{4} \end{array} \right\} \\ \hline M & M & Y & Y \\ M & Y & M & M \\ D & M & Y & Y \\ D & Y & M & M \\ M & D & Y & Y \\ M & Y & D & M \\ M & Y & M & D \end{array} \right.$$

 $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

K D - F A S

How to handle many transponders?

18.09.2013

- Limited number of transponders and measurements
 - #TOF-Slots
 - #AOA/Data-Slots (≈ 1/5 #TOF-Slots)
- Protocol allows individual rates for each transponder
 - TOF-priority *p*
 - AOA-priority q
 - → try to measure every 2^{p-1}th - cycle 2^{q-1}th - cycle



- TOF priority change \rightarrow network traffic
- AOA priority change with beacon

FORSCHUNGSINITIATIVE

FA

К 🗆 -

K o - F A S

- Measurement Priorities
 - steady state accuracy
 - transient behaviour
 - maximum number of tracks
- Objectives:
 - object relevance collision probability
 - track condition covariance matrix
 - multiple sensors
 - minimum number of TOF priority changes



K o - F A S

- Possible Strategies: Optimal ($\underline{p}, \underline{q}$) within constraints Γ
 - Strategy 1: Uniform resource distribution

 $\max_{(p,q)\in\Gamma} N(2^{p-1})^{-1} + N(2^{q-1})^{-1}$

• Strategy 2: Resource allocation based on the collision probabilities

$$\max_{(\underline{p},\underline{q})\in\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (P_{c})_{i} (2^{p_{i}-1})^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (P_{c})_{i} (2^{q_{i}-1})^{-1}$$

• Strategy 3: Resource allocation based on relevant information gain

$$\max_{(\underline{p},\underline{q})\in\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{(P_{c})_{i}} \left[\frac{1}{2^{q_{i}-1}} (\Delta H_{r,\phi})_{i} + \left(\frac{1}{2^{p_{i}-1}} - \frac{1}{2^{q_{i}-1}} \right) (\Delta H_{r})_{i} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p_{i}-1}} \right) (\Delta H_{0})_{i} \right]$$

 ΔH : entropy difference between current and expected following state

- Simulation results for a pedestrian safety system:
 - uniform allocation: significant disadvantages
 - collision based and uniform: comparable performance in most scenarios
 - advantages of information based approach:
 - tracks with different conditions
 - optimize over multiple sensors
 - higher robustness in with systematic errors
 - advantages of collision based approach
 - lower computational cost



КО





- Tracking with Cooperative Sensor Systems:
 - "single target tracking with range and bearing measurements"
 - multipath handling
 - colored spatial and temporal noise
 - multiple measurement hypotheses without association
 - misses and outliers
 - strategies for multi-user environments
 - adaption of fusion rules to cooperative objects
 - detection of occluded objects, transponders
 - multiple hypothesis description
 - integration of inertial sensor data
 - coordinate conversion of dynamic data
 - integration of a semantic movement behavior

Thank you for your attention!

BMW Group Forschung und Technik



DAIMLER Ontinental



Steinbeis-Innovationszentrum Embedded Design und Networking

Technische Universität München



- Kloeden, H.; Rauch, A.; Klanner, F.; Schwarz, D.; Rasshofer, R. H.: Kooperative Ansätze zur Fahrzeugumfeldwahrnehmung. In: 8. VDI-Tagung Fahrzeugsicherheit, 2011, S. 261–274
- Kloeden, H.; Schwarz, D.; Biebl, E. M.; Rasshofer, R. H.: Fusion of Cooperative Localization Data with Dynamic Object Information using Data Communication for Preventative Vehicle Safety Applications. In: Advances in Radio Science 11 (2013)